

[Home](http://iopscience.iop.org/) [Search](http://iopscience.iop.org/search) [Collections](http://iopscience.iop.org/collections) [Journals](http://iopscience.iop.org/journals) [About](http://iopscience.iop.org/page/aboutioppublishing) [Contact us](http://iopscience.iop.org/contact) [My IOPscience](http://iopscience.iop.org/myiopscience)

Quantum mechanics of relativistic particles in multiply connected spaces and the Aharonov-Bohm effect

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1991 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 L659

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/24/12/001)

View [the table of contents for this issue](http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/24/12), or go to the [journal homepage](http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470) for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 11:15

Please note that [terms and conditions apply.](http://iopscience.iop.org/page/terms)

## **LETTER TO THE EDITOR**

# Quantum mechanics of relativistic particles in multiply connected spaces and the Aharonov-Bohm effect

J Gamboat and V O Rivelles‡§

† Departamento de Fisica Teorica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Zaragoza, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

‡ Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 20516, CEP 01498, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Received 7 January 1991, in final form 11 April 1991

Abstract. We consider the motion of free relativistic particles in multiply connected spaces We show that if one of the spatial dimensions has the topology of a circle then the D-dimensional spacetime is compactified to  $D-1$  dimensions and the particle mass increases by an amount which is proportional to a quantum phase factor and inversely proportional to the radius of the circle. This provides a new non-perturbative mechanism of mass generation We also consider the relativistic Aharanov-Bohm effect and we show that the propagator is similar in form to the non-relativistic one. The propagators are calculated without any approximation in both situations

Ouantum mechanics in multiply connected spaces is an old subject in theoretical physics [1-4] and it is essential for a proper understanding of the Aharonov-Bohm effect [5], fractional statistics [6], topological field theories [7] and probably high- $T_c$ superconductivity [8].

In the path integral framework the multiconnected character of the manifold does appear when we consider all paths which belong to distinct homotopic classes. Then the propagation amplitude to go from the point  $X_1$  to the point  $X_2$  is given by

$$
G[X_2, X_1] = \sum_{n} \Xi_n G_n[X_2, X_1]
$$
 (1)

where  $\Xi_n$  is some unitary representation of the nth class of homotopy of the covering group of the manifold.

In the case of non-relativistic particles this problem has been studied by several authors [2, 3, 9-11] who have clarified the relation between the topological term  $\alpha\dot{\theta}$ which we can add to the Lagrangian and the multivalued character of the wavefunction.

These aspects, together with a rigorous mathematical treatment of quantum mechanics in multiply connected spaces [2], were essential for recent developments in fractional statistics and topological quantum field theories.

However, despite the large amount of work in this area, there is no discussion, to our knowledge, of the motion of relativistic particles in multiply connected spaces. Probably this gap is due to the fact that only recently a complete understanding of the quantization of the relativistic particle in terms of path integrals was reached. The crucial point is that the reparametrization invariance makes the path integral non-trivial

§ Partially supported by CNPq

0305-4470/91/120659+08\$03 50 © 1991 IOP Publishing Ftd

and the Faddeev-Popov **[I?]** or **Batalin-Fradkin-Vilkovisky** [ 131 technque must necessarily be used.

Technically the problem of quantization of a generally covariant theory has to deal with the trouble of how to **fix** the gauge. The gauge fixing, as was discussed some time ago hy Teitelboim **[14],** must be consistent with the complete Exation of the gauge parameters at the initial and final points of the trajectory. Therefore, in this class of theories, we are led naturally **to** non-canonical gauge choices, like the proper time gauge.

The purpose of this paper is to discuss two examples involving free relativistic particles moving **on** spaces with non-trivial topology using the path integral method. In particular, the analogous of the problem solved by Schulman **[1,3]** for a free non-relativistic particle moving on a space with the topology of a circle  $S<sup>1</sup>$  will be solved explicitly in the proper time gauge. We will show that when we impose this topology the propagator of the relativistic particle is compactified from  $D$  to  $D-1$ dimensjons generating a mass proportional to the inverse radius of the circle and proportional to a quantum phase. 'Ihis provides a new mechanism of dynamical mass generation by non-perturbative methods.

We then consider the relativistic Aharonov-Bohm effect. We will present a procedure which allow us **to** obtain an explicit expression for the propagator in momentum space. Finally we compare our results with the non-relativistic ones showing that the interference pattem is the same in both cases.

Let us consider a relativistic particle with mass *m* in a D-dimensional spacetime described by the following action

$$
S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathrm{d}\tau (P^{\mu} \dot{X}_{\mu} - N_{\partial t}) \tag{2}
$$

where N is the Lagrange multiplier and  $\mathcal X$  is the first class constraint defined by

$$
\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}(P^2 + m^2) \tag{3}
$$

and  $P_\mu$  is the momentum conjugated to  $X_\mu$ .

The reparametrization invariance is given by the following transformations

$$
\delta X^{\mu} = \varepsilon P^{\mu}
$$
  
\n
$$
\delta P^{\mu} = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
\delta N = \dot{\varepsilon}
$$
 (4)

which leave invariant the action (2) if at the endpoints we have

$$
\varepsilon(t_1) = \varepsilon(t_2) = 0. \tag{5}
$$

**As** has been discussed in [14] a gauge choice compatible with **(4)** is the proper time gauge

$$
\dot{\mathbf{N}}=0.\tag{6}
$$

To quantize the theory we will use the path integral formalism. The propagation amplitude for the particle to go from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$  is

$$
G[X_2, X_1] = \int \mathcal{D}N \mathcal{D}X_\mu \mathcal{D}P^\mu \delta[N] \det(\partial_\tau^2) \exp\bigg(i \int_{t_1}^{t_2} d\tau (P^\mu \dot{X}_\mu - N \mathcal{H})\bigg). \tag{7}
$$

The formal expression **(7)** must be evaluared specifying the boundary conditions, i.e. in this case the topology **of** *S'.* 

Let us assume that the topology of  $S<sup>t</sup>$  is imposed only in one of the coordinates, say  $X^1$  Then we have the boundary conditions

$$
X^{0}(t_{1}) = X_{1}^{0} \t X^{0}(t_{2}) = X_{2}^{0}
$$
  
\n
$$
X^{1}(t_{1}) = X_{1}^{1} \t X^{1}(t_{2}) = X_{2}^{1} + 2\pi nl
$$
  
\n
$$
X'(t_{1}) = X_{1}^{1} \t X'(t_{2}) = X_{2}^{1}
$$
  
\n(8)

where  $i = 2, \ldots, D-1$ , and *l* is the radius of the circle and *n* an integer.

The path integral **(7)** can now be evaluated explicitly. Notice that in (7) the factor  $\delta[\hat{N}]$  states that only the zero mode  $(N(0))$  of  $N(t)$  contributes to the path integral and therefore the functional measure *9N* can he replaced by an ordinary measure  $dN(0)$  The integration limits for  $N(0)$ , by causality requirements [14], is from 0 to  $\infty$ . The Euclideanized determinant det( $-\partial_{\tau}^{2}$ ) can be evaluated by using the  $\zeta$ -function regularization after we impose that the eigenfunctions of  $-\partial_{\tau}^{2}$  vanish at the endpoints of the trajectory<sup>†</sup>, the result being det( $-\partial_{\tau}^{2}$ ) =  $\Delta t = t_{2} - t_{1}$ 

Then **(7)** becomes

$$
G_n[X_2, X_1] = \int_0^\infty dT \int \mathcal{D}X_\mu \mathcal{D}P^\mu \exp\left(i \int_{t_1}^{t_2} d\tau (P^\mu \dot{X}_\mu - N(0)\mathcal{H})\right) \qquad (9)
$$

where  $T = N(0)\Delta t$ . In (9) we added a lower index *n* to *G* which means that  $G_n[X_2, X_1]$ is the propagation amplitude for the *nth* class of homotopy.

If we now write  $P^{\mu}\dot{X}_{\mu}$  as  $(d/dt)(P^{\mu}X_{\mu})-\dot{P}^{\mu}X_{\mu}$  and we integrate on  $X_{\mu}$  we get a factor of  $\delta[P_\mu]$  which states that the momentum is conserved and allows the functional integral in  $P_{\mu}$  to become an ordinary integral.

Using the boundary conditions **(8)** in order to evaluate the surface term, we get that **(9)** becomes

$$
G_n[X_2, X_1] = \int_0^\infty dT \int \frac{d^D P}{(2\pi)^D} \exp(iP^\mu \Delta X_\mu + 2in\pi l P_1 - iT\mathcal{H})
$$

$$
= \int \frac{d^D P}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\exp(iP^\mu \Delta X_\mu + 2inlP_1)}{P^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon} \tag{10}
$$

where the factor is was introduced to guarantee the convergence of  $(9)$ .

Using **(1)** we obtain the complete propagator as

$$
G[X_2, X_1] = \sum_{n} \Xi_n \int \frac{d^D P}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\exp(iP^{\mu}\Delta X_{\mu} + 2in lP_1)}{P^2 + m^2 - i\varepsilon}.
$$
 (11)

Following the arguments presented by Schulman  $[1]$  the  $E<sub>n</sub>$  can be easily obtained by observing that (11) depends only on the difference  $X_2 - X_1$ , and so it is easy to shew that  $\overline{E}_n = e^{in\delta}$ , where  $\delta$  is a phase whose origin is strictly quantic and induced

This has a natural explanation in the BFV formalism In fact to impose that the eigenfunctions of  $-\partial_x^2$ vanish at the endpoints of the trajectory is equivalent to the imposition of homogeneous boundary conditions **for the ghosts** 

by the non-trivial topology of the coordinate  $X^{\dagger}$ . Consequently (11) is

$$
G[X_2, X_1] = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^D P}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\exp(iP^{\mu} \Delta X_{\mu} + in(2\pi l P_1 + \delta))}{P^2 + m^2 - i\varepsilon}
$$
  

$$
= (2\pi) \int \frac{d^D P}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{e^{iP^{\mu} \Delta X_{\mu}}}{P^2 + m^2 - i\varepsilon} \delta[2\pi l P_1 + \delta]
$$
  

$$
= \exp\left(\frac{-i\delta \Delta X_1}{2\pi l}\right) \int \frac{d^{D-1} P}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \frac{e^{iP^{\mu} \Delta X_{\mu}}}{P^2 + m^2 - i\varepsilon}
$$
(12)

where now  $\mu = 0, 2, ..., D-1$  Equation (12) is the propagator for a particle of mass  $m^2 = m^2 + \frac{\delta^2}{2\pi l^2}$  moving in a  $(D-1)$ -dimensional spacerime with trivial topology Therefore, by imposing a non-trivial topology in one of the spatial coordinates of the D-dimensional spacetime we generate a compactification to  $D-1$  dimensions. This has no anaiogy with the non-relativistic case. It is also not analogous to the Cremmer-Scherk [15] compactification since our results are derived from a quantum phase  $\delta$ and in this sense it is not equivalent to the compactification mechanism *of* the Kaluza-Klein theones [16] or string theories [17]. It also gives a new non-perturbative mechanism of mass generation It would he very interesting to extend these ideas to quantum field theories#

We now consider the Aharonov-Bohm effect. This **IS** a two-dimensional situation where the electron moves **in** a multiply connected space due to the presence of a cylinder of infinite length filled with a magnetic field. The relativistic effects should **be** observable by incieasing the velocity of the electron. However, it seems that there is no experimental investigation in this direction [20].

Topologically speaking the situation described in figure **1 IS** not the most general possible since the electrons can follow other paths, e **6.** going around the cylinder (see figure 2), and the problem is how to incorporate this into the path integral.

In principle it would be possible to extend the method developed by Inomota-Singh [21] and Gerry-Singh [22] to solve the non-relativistic Aharonov-Bohm effect using the path integral However, it seems more convenient to write directly the path integral for the relativistic problem and to factorize the corresponding integral in its space and time components (regarding the position vector  $X^{\mu}$ ).



**Figure 1.** 

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\dagger$  **Here**  $\delta$  **is a quantum phase that depends on how the singularity is constructed, e g if this singularity is constructed with an infinite rolenoid filled with a magnetrc field (as m the Aharonov-Bohm efiect) thts**  phase can be interpreted as the magnetic flux

**<sup>\*</sup>The lttereture about quantum field theories in multiply connected spacer is very rertnned Perhaps the**  first paper on this subject is due to Dowker [4]. More recently non-Abelian gauge theories on  $S<sup>1</sup>$  and  $S<sup>2</sup>$ **viere shown to have a dynamical mas. gtneration at the one loop level [IS], the \$\*me as that occurnng in the Schwinger model [19]** 



**Figure 2.** 

The integral in  $X^0$  can be solved easily and the spatial integrals can be evaluated **by** using polar coordinates (see figure **3)** as is done in **[21]** and *[2?].* Then, the formal path integral for the nth class of homotopy in the proper time gauge has the following form

$$
G_n[X_2, X_1] = \int \mathcal{D}N \mathcal{D}X^{\mu} \det(N)^{-1} \delta[N] \det(N\hat{\sigma}_\tau^2)
$$
  
 
$$
\times \exp\left(i \int_{t_1}^{t_2} d\tau \left(\frac{1}{2N} \dot{X}^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} N\right)\right).
$$
 (13)

The amplitude (13) is a Lagrangian expression. The factor det( $N^{-1}$ ) has been incorporated to make the functional measure invariant under reparametrizations. It is also easy to see, by using the  $\zeta$ -function regularization, that det( $N\partial^2_{\tau}$ ) is factorizable and therefore the factors det( $N^{-1}$ ) and det( $N$ ) cancel among themselves As before we have  $\det(-\partial_{\tau}^{2}) = \Delta t$ 

The factor  $\delta[\dot{N}]$  allows us to replace the path integral in  $N$  by an ordinary integral in  $N(0)$  with integration limits from 0 to  $\infty$ . Then (13) becomes

$$
G_n[X_2, X_1] = \int_0^\infty d\tau \exp\left(i\frac{m^2\tau}{2}\right) \int \mathcal{D}X^0 \exp\left(i\int_{t_1}^{t_2} d\tau \frac{-\dot{X}_0^2}{2N(0)}\right)
$$

$$
\times \int \mathcal{D}^2X \exp\left(i\int_{t_1}^{t_2} d\tau \frac{\dot{X}^2}{2N(0)}\right).
$$
(14)

To solve the integrals in  $X^0$  and  $\tilde{X}$  we consider that they are formally equal to the integrals for the non-relativistic particle in one and two dimensions with mass  $m =$  $N(0)^{-1}$ . So the  $X^0$  integral is simply

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2\pi T}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(\frac{-i(\Delta X_0)^2}{T}\right).
$$
 (15)



Figure 3.

### **L664** *Letter to the Editor*

The **X** integral **IS** more complicated because we have to take into account that the panicle can go around the cylinder. 'This **can** he done and we refer to the papers **[21]**  and *1221* for details (see also *C231).* The result for the integral (14) is

$$
G_n[X_2, X_1] = \int_0^\infty dT \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\lambda \frac{1}{(2\pi T)^{3/2}} \times \exp\left(\frac{im^2 T}{2} - i\frac{(\Delta X_0)^2}{2T} + \frac{i}{2}\frac{(R^2 + R'^2)}{T} + i\lambda(\phi + 2\pi n)\right) I_{|\lambda|}\left(i\frac{RR'}{T}\right)
$$

where  $\phi$  is the angle difference between *R* and *R'* (see figure 2) and  $I_{\{A\}}$  is the modified Ressel function.

Following the same arguments ured earlier to determine  $E_n$  in (1) we obtain the complete propagator

$$
G[X_2, X_1] = \int_0^\infty dT \frac{1}{(2\pi T)^{3/2}} \exp\left(\frac{im^2 T}{2} - i\frac{(\Delta X_0)^2}{2T} + \frac{i}{2}\frac{(R^2 + R'^2)}{T}\right)
$$
  
 
$$
\times \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty (-1)^{|n+\alpha|} \exp(-i(n+\alpha)\phi) J_{|n+\alpha|}\left(\frac{RR'}{T}\right)
$$
(16)

where in this case the phase is  $\alpha = e\Phi$ , where  $\Phi$  is the magnetic flux and *e* is the electric charge. In **(16)** we made use of the identity

$$
I_{\nu}(1x)=(i)^{\nu}J_{\nu}(x).
$$

Equation **(16)** can also he written explicitly in momentum space

$$
G[X_2, X_1] = \int d^3 P \int_0^{\infty} dT \exp\left(iP^{\mu} \Delta X_{\mu} - i\frac{T}{2}(P^2 + m^2)\right)
$$
  
 
$$
\times \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-i)^{p^{\mu} + \sigma!} \exp(-i(n+\alpha)\phi) J_{|n+\alpha|}\left(\frac{RR'}{T}\right)
$$
 (17)

Making the change of variables  $T = 1/z$ , using the identity

 $J_{\nu-1}(x)+J_{\nu+1}(x)=2\nu xJ_{\nu}(x)$ 

(*v* arbitrary) and using the integral [24]  
\n
$$
\int_0^\infty dz \, z^{-1} e^{\alpha/z} J_\nu(\beta z) = 2J_\nu(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) K_\nu(\sqrt{\alpha \beta}) \qquad \text{Re}(\alpha) > 0, \beta > 0
$$

it follows that **(16)** has the following form

$$
G[X_2, X_1] = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{|a + \alpha|}}{|n + \alpha|} e^{-i(n + \alpha)\phi} \int \frac{d^3 P}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i P^{\mu} \Delta X_{\mu}}
$$
  
 
$$
\times (J_{|n + \alpha| - 1}(\sqrt{RR' \rho}) + J_{|n + \alpha| + 1}(\sqrt{RR' \rho})) (K_{|n + \alpha| - 1}(\sqrt{RR' \rho}) + K_{|n + \alpha| + 1}(\sqrt{RR' \rho}))
$$
  
(18)

with  $\rho = \varepsilon - i(P^2 + m^2)$ .

We have formulated the path integral quantization of *2* relativistic particle **on** a spacetime with non-trivial topology and written explicitly expressions for the propagators. For the free relativistic particle we have found a new dynamical non-perturhative mechanism of mass generation and it would be very interesting to find an analogous mechanism in quantum field theories.

 $\sim$ 

We aiso discussed the relativistic Aharonov-Bohm effect and calculated the propagator. We remark that its form is the same as the non-relativistic one. If we write

$$
G[X_2, X_1] = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-i)^{|n+\alpha|} \exp(-i(n+\alpha)\phi) F_{|n+\alpha|}
$$
 (19)

we recover the relativistic propagator **(17)** for

$$
F_{|n+\alpha|} = \int d^3 P \int_0^\infty dT \exp\left(i P^\mu \Delta X_\mu - i \frac{T}{2} (P^2 + m^2)\right) J_{|n+\alpha|} \left(\frac{RR'}{T}\right) \tag{20}
$$

while for the non-relativistic case [23],

$$
F_{\left[n+\alpha\right]} = \frac{m}{2\pi i} \exp\left(\frac{2mi}{\tau} \left(R^2 + R'^2\right)\right) J_{\left[n+\alpha\right]} \left(\frac{nRR'}{\tau}\right) \tag{21}
$$

where  $\tau$  is the time that the particle takes to go from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$ .

This fact shows that we have a universal form for the propagator of the Aharonov-Bohm effect. If we had considered the relativistic spinning particle, for example, only the factor  $F_{n+q}$  in (19) would change. If we now apply the Poisson summation formula to **(19)** and make a change of variables **[25]** we can rewrite (19) as

$$
G[X_2, X_1] = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} T_m e^{-2\pi i m\alpha}
$$
 (22)

where

$$
T_m = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\lambda (-i)^{|\lambda|} e^{-\lambda \phi} F_{|\lambda|}
$$
 (23)

so that all dependence on the flux  $\Phi$  is in the exponential factor in (22). This means then that the interference patcem will he the same whichever particle we take, relativistic, spinning particle, etc. The interference pattern of the Aharonov-Bohm effect is universal since it depends only on the magnetic **flux.** 

Of course, this requires a note of caution, in fact, recently Hagen **[26]** has suggested that the spin could modify the inteference pattem if the initial beam of particles is polanzed. (Another denvation of this result based on the use of the conservation of helicity is given in **[27]** ) We also assumed that the cylinder is infinite in length but it is known that a finite cylinder changes the usual results **1281.** 

JG was partially supported by MEC-CICYT.

#### **References**

- [I] **Schulman** L **S** 1968 *Phys Re"* **176 1558**
- [2] Laidlaw **M** G G and deWiit *C* **M** 1971 *Phy\$* Rev D *3* 1375
- [3] Schulman L S 1981 *Techniques and Applications of Path Integration* (New York Wiley)
- **[41** Dowker J **S** <sup>1972</sup>*I. Phys. A: Math. Gen 5* <sup>304</sup>
- [5] Aharanov **Y** and Bohm D 1959 *Phys. Rev* **115** *485*
- *[6]* Wil'zek **F** 1990 *Pnnceron prepnr*
- [71 Witten E 1988 *Commw Moth Phys.* **117** 353
- **[SI Chen Y-H,** Wilcrek **F,** Witten E **md Halperin E** I 1989 *Inr. J Mod PhyL* B *3* **1001**
- [9] Rolhe H **1** 1981 *Nuovo* **Ctmento 62A 54**
- [IO] Rothe **H** I and **Swieca** J A 1979 *NueL F'hy~.* **B 149** 237

#### L666 Letter to the Editor

- [11] Lee B H, Lee S H. Weinberg E J and Lee K 1988 Phys Rev Lett 60 2231
- [12] Faddev L D and Slavnov A A 1980 Introduction to Quantum Theory: Gauge Fields (Reading, MA Benjamin)
- [13] Henneaux M 1985 Phys Rep 126 1
- [14] Teitelboim C 1982 Phys Rev D 25 3152
- [15] Cremmer E and Scherk J 1977 Nucl Phys B 110 61
- [16] Duff M, Nilsson B E W and Pope C N 1986 Phys Rep 130 1
- [17] Green M B, Schwarz J H and Witten E 1987 Superstring Theory (Cambridge Cambridge University Press)
- [18] Hosotani Y 1983 Phys Lett 126B 309, 129B 193, 1989 Ann Phys, NY 190 233 Toms D 1983 Phys Lett 126B 445 Hetrick J E and Hosotani Y 1989 Phys. Lett 230B 88 Rajeev S G 1989 Freprit. 1'P-1069
- [19] Manton N S 1985 Ann Pv, s., NY 159 220
- [20] Olariu S and Popescu I 1985 Rev Mod Phys 57 339
- [21] Inomata A and Singh V A 1978 J Math Phys 19 2318
- [22] Gerry C and Singh V A 1979 Phys Rev D 20 2550
- [23] Shiekh A Y 1986 Ann Phys, NY 166 299
- [24] Gradshtein I S and Ryzhik I M 1980 Table of Integrals, Series and Products (New York Academic)
- [25] Berry M V 1980 Eur J Phys 1 1240
- [26] Hagen C R 1990 Preprint UR-1145
- [27] Vera F and Schmidt I 1990 Phys. Rev D 42 3591
- [28] Afanesiev G N and Shilov V M 1989 J Phys. A Math Gen 22 5195